

# **Kid Testing: Alternative Approaches To Enhance Overall Liking Differences**

L.A. Gills, J. Grady, J. Adams, C. Davis

Product and Consumer Science, Kellogg Co. Battle Creek, MI United States

# INTRODUCTION

- · Consumer research with young children poses many challenges, including what age to test, what time of day, what test setting, what scales to use
- However, one of the biggest challenges is whether or not discrimination will be seen among products tested with children, especially in the 8-12 age range where children are able to independently evaluate products

## **OBJECTIVE**

To examine alternate approaches to testing with children that would potentially enhance overall liking differences among well liked products with small but perceivable differences



## METHODOLOGY

- · Study repeated using three different methods in central location tests
  - **Traditional** 
    - -Sequential Monadic study
  - Traditional with Warm-Up
    - -Sequential monadic study
    - -All respondents received the same sample, Product 3, first as a warm-up
  - Rank-by-Elimination
    - -All three samples presented simultaneously
    - -Select favorite, sample removed, then selected favorite of two remaining
- Products Evaluated
  - -Commercially available breakfast food
- Recruiting
  - Children ages 8-12
  - Pre-recruited via phone from a database of respondents
  - Users of the product category and acceptors of the variety
  - Sample size of 100 recruited for each study (300 total)

## **RESULTS**

#### **Traditional**

- . Children identified that Product 1 and Product 2 were most liked overall
  - Flavor and Appearance liking showed same
- · Children did discriminate among the level of crispness of the products, with Product 3 being most crisp
  - · The texture differences were confirmed by descriptive panel data

#### **Traditional Consumer Data**

Product Description	Product 1	Product 2	Product 3		
Overall Liking	7.5 A	7.7 A	7.1 B		
Appearance Liking	7.9 A	7.8 A	7.6 B		
Flavor Liking	7.3 A	7.6 A	6.9 B		
Texture Liking	7.0 B	7.5 A	6.9 B		
Flavor Intensity	5.0 A	5.1A	5.2 A		
Sweetness Intensity	5.2 A	5.3 A	5.2 A		
Crispness Intensity	5.5 B	5.3 B	5.9 A		
Crispness Intensity	5.5 B	5.3 B	5.9 A		

- Liking questions use the 9 point fully anchored scale 1 = Super Bad
  9 = Super Good
- Intensity questions use a 7 point end anchored scale 1=none
- 7 = extreme
- Columns within a row with different letters are significantly different at alpha=0.10

#### **Traditional with Warm-Up**

- . Children identified that Product 3 was most liked overall
- · No differences were seen in appearance and texture liking
- Children did discriminate among the samples for the level of crispness, with Product 3 being most crisp

#### Traditional with Warm-Up Consumer Data

	Product Description	Product 1	Product 2	Product 3
	Overall Liking	6.4 B	6.7 B	7.1 A
	Appearance Liking	7.2 A	7.3 A	7.2 A
	Flavor Liking	6.5 B	6.6 AB	7.0 A
	Texture Liking	6.6 A	6.6 A	6.8 A
ĺ	Flavor Intensity	4.9 A	4.9 A	4.8 A
	Sweetness Intensity	5.0 A	5.1 A	5.1 A
	Crispness Intensity	4.9 B	4.9 B	5.6 A

- Liking guestions use the 9 point fully anchored scale 1 = Super Bad.
- Intensity questions use a 7 point end anchored scale 1=none,
- Columns within a row with different letters are significantly different at

# RESULTS

#### **Rank-by-Elimination**

- Children identified that Product 1 and Product 2 were most liked
- · Product 3 was significantly less liked than Product 2
- No diagnostics were collected

Product Description	Mean Rank	
Product 1	2.0 AB	
Product 2	1.9 A	
Product 3	2.1B	

Mean ranks with different letters are significantly different at alpha=0.10 •Lowest mean rank is best liked sample

# DISCUSSION

### **Traditional vs. Warm-Up**

- . In both methods, children were able to identify differences in the texture of the samples that were similar to those identified by the descriptive panel
- . The methods resulted in different conclusions from the hedonic data
  - Children rated Product 3 as the most acceptable overall in the Warm-Up methodology, likely due to having seen the product first as the warm-up and again randomized within the sample set
  - The remaining hedonics were affected in a similar manner

#### Traditional vs. Rank-by-Elimination

· Children identified that Product 1 and Product 2 were most liked overall in both methods, showing agreement between methods

# **CONCLUSIONS**

- Kids can discriminate
  - If differences in Overall Liking are not seen, differences in hedonics and diagnostics were noticed and should be used to guide development
- All methods showed discrimination in Overall Liking
- Rank-by-Elimination shows promise as there was discrimination in overall liking
  - · However, there is the disadvantage of no diagnostic information
- Warm up samples should be carefully considered
- · The warm up sample appears to influence the end result, potentially biasing results